## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## DISTRICT OF NEVADA

DAVID BOGLE,

Plaintiff

Case No.: 2:22-cv-01054-APG-EJY **Order Remanding Case to State Court** 

v.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

111

REGAL COLONNADE, et al.,

Defendants

I previously ordered the defendants to show cause why this case should not be remanded to state court for failure to establish the amount in controversy. ECF No. 9. The defendants responded by presenting evidence that prior to filing suit, the plaintiff made a demand for the policy limit, which is \$1,000,000. ECF No. 11 at 10, 20. But at the time of that demand, the 12 plaintiff did not know what the limit was. *Id.* at 10 ("Unfortunately, your insured has chosen not 13 to divulge the amount of their policy limits."). The only other evidence the defendants offer is 14 the plaintiff's refusal to stipulate to cap damages at \$75,000. *Id.* at 23. That is insufficient for the 15 defendants to meet their burden of showing that more than \$75,000 is at issue. See, e.g., Jauregui 16 v. Mid-Century Ins. Co., No. 2:16-cv-01496-GMN-NJK, 2016 WL 5952742, at \*2 (D. Nev. Oct. 17||12, 2016).

I THEREFORE ORDER that this case is remanded to the state court from which it was removed for all further proceedings. The clerk of the court is instructed to close this case.

DATED this 1st day of August, 2022.

21

18

19

20

22

23

ANDREW P. GORDON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE